



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
REGULATORY PROGRAM
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

I. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Completion Date of Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD): [December 14, 2020](#).

ORM Number: [SPK-2020-00114](#).

Associated JDs: [N/A](#).

Review Area Location¹: State/Territory: [Nevada](#). City: [Las Vegas](#). County/Parish/Borough: [Clark](#).

Center Coordinates of Review Area: Latitude [36.0361](#). Longitude [-115.2471](#).

II. FINDINGS

A. Summary: Check all that apply. At least one box from the following list **MUST** be selected. Complete the corresponding sections/tables and summarize data sources.

- The review area is comprised entirely of dry land (i.e., there are no waters or water features, including wetlands, of any kind in the entire review area). Rationale: [N/A](#).
- There are “navigable waters of the United States” within Rivers and Harbors Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.B).
- There are “waters of the United States” within Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete appropriate tables in Section II.C).
- There are waters or water features excluded from Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.D).

¹ Map(s)/figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
REGULATORY PROGRAM
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

B. Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 Section 10 (§ 10)²

§ 10 Name	§ 10 Size	§ 10 Criteria	Rationale for § 10 Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A	N/A.

C. Clean Water Act Section 404

Territorial Seas and Traditional Navigable Waters ((a)(1) waters): ³			
(a)(1) Name	(a)(1) Size	(a)(1) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(1) Determination
N/A.	N/A. acres	N/A	N/A.

Tributaries ((a)(2) waters):			
(a)(2) Name	(a)(2) Size	(a)(2) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(2) Determination
N/A.	N/A. acres	N/A	N/A.

Lakes and ponds, and impoundments of jurisdictional waters ((a)(3) waters):			
(a)(3) Name	(a)(3) Size	(a)(3) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(3) Determination
N/A.	N/A. acres	N/A	N/A.

Adjacent wetlands ((a)(4) waters):			
(a)(4) Name	(a)(4) Size	(a)(4) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(4) Determination
N/A.	N/A. acres	N/A	N/A.

D. Excluded Waters or Features

Excluded waters ((b)(1) – (b)(12)): ⁴			
Exclusion Name	Exclusion Size	Exclusion ⁵	Rationale for Exclusion Determination
UT-Duck Creek.	0.065 / 708 acres / Linear Feet	(b)(3) Ephemeral feature, including an ephemeral stream, swale, gully, rill, or pool.	The subject channel flows only in direct response to precipitation (e.g., rain or snowfall) (33 CFR 328.3(c)(3)).

² If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

³ A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD Form.

⁴ Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

⁵ Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
REGULATORY PROGRAM
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

III. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

A. Select/enter all resources that were used to aid in this determination and attach data/maps to this document and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.

Information submitted by, or on behalf of, the applicant/consultant: [Jurisdictional Determination Report PulteGroup Montessouri and Camero, Unit 1. Prepared by NewFields, January 2020.](#)

This information is sufficient for purposes of this AJD.

Rationale: [N/A.](#)

Data sheets prepared by the Corps:

Photographs: [Aerial and Other. Jurisdictional Determination Report PulteGroup Montessouri and Camero, Unit 1. Appendix A and C. Prepared by NewFields, January 2020.](#)

[2019 Digital Globe. \(2019 March 4 and 2019 February 6\). Las Vegas, Nevada. 36.03549° latitude, - 115.2399° longitude. Retrieved December 14, 2020, from https://evwhs.digitalglobe.com.](#)

Corps site visit(s) conducted on:

Previous Jurisdictional Determinations (AJDs or PJDs):

Antecedent Precipitation Tool: [provide detailed discussion in Section III.B.](#)

USDA NRCS Soil Survey:

USFWS NWI maps: [USFWS. \(n.d.\). National Wetland Inventory. Project ID: R01Y05P08. Project title and area: State of Nevada. Source imagery date: from 1980 to 1986. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Dept. of the Interior. Retrieved December 12, 2020, from Wetlands Mapper: https://www.fws.gov/wetlands/data/mapper.html](#)

USGS topographic maps: [USGS. \(2018\). Topographic Map BLUE DIAMOND SE, NV. 1:24,000 scale. 2018. Reston, VA, USA: U.S. Dept. of the Interior. Retrieved from https://ngmdb.usgs.gov/topoview/viewer/#12/42.2093/-111.0122.](#)

Other data sources used to aid in this determination:

Data Source (select)	Name and/or date and other relevant information
USGS Sources	N/A.
USDA NRCS SNOTEL	Kyle Canyon (15N05) Nevada SNOW COURSE/AERIAL MARKER Site - 8300 ft, Reporting Frequency: Monthly; Date Range: Aug 2000 to Aug 2020
NOAA Sources	N/A.
USACE Sources	N/A.
State/Local/Tribal Sources	N/A.
Applicable/supporting scientific literature	Griffiths, Peter G., Richard Hereford, and Robert H. Webb. "Sediment yield and runoff frequency of small drainage basins in the Mojave Desert, USA." Geomorphology 74.1-4 (2006): 232 244

B. Typical year assessment(s): [The field data collection on November 21, 2019 was taken during the dry season. Aerials obtained through Digital Globe on February 6, 2019 and March 4, 2019 during the wet season both show no indication of water. The dry season conditions are within the typical year as the Corps Antecedent Precipitation Calculator indicated that at the time the field data was collected the area](#)



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
REGULATORY PROGRAM
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

was experiencing normal conditions. The ground photographs provided by Newfields (2019) document a channel with an ordinary high water mark but without evidence of persistent flow. Xeric shrubs border this channel rather than the willows (*Salix* spp.) or saltcedar (*Tamarix* spp.) that we typically see associated with intermittent or perennial streams in this region. The channel is free of hydrophytic vegetation and algae which we typically find in low gradient intermittent and perennial streams in this region.

C. Additional comments to support AJD: Review of available information indicates that the water flows or pools only in direct response to precipitation (e.g., rain or snow fall). There is no information available to indicate that the subject channel flows continuously seasonally and more than in direct response to precipitation (e.g., seasonally when the groundwater table is elevated or when snow pack melts). No adjacent or abutting wetlands which could contribute water have been identified. The findings of Griffiths et al (2006) demonstrate that within this region only runoff above a certain magnitude (i.e. convective storm) will overcome transmission losses on the alluvial fan. During normal conditions the low-angle slopes of the survey area along the alluvial fan surface limit hydrologic transport through transmission losses due to coarse substrate and channel storage. The combination of local climate (arid) and substrate are consistent with the observed ephemeral nature of the subject channel. The subject channel receives hydrologic input from precipitation, and snowpack from the east side of the Spring Mountains. USDA NRCS SNOWTEL data reported at the Kyle Canyon station (15N05) within the Spring Mountains indicated a snow pack ranging between 0-76 inches (mean 18, median 9, mode 0) during winter months over the last 20 year period and 14 inches for the year field work was performed (2019). The 2019 snow pack was less than the mean value but more than the median and the most common yearly snowpack which is 0. The SNOWTEL data indicates that the region can experience high snow fall on occasion, which form the OHWM (Griffiths et al, 2006) but the region most commonly experiences low snowpack (potential hydrologic input) which is consistent with the NewFields observations of no water flowing or present during field work. These data support the conclusion that the subject channel is ephemeral and not seasonally intermittent.