

# Pairwise Powers of 2 Problem

Alex Bradley

September 22, 2022

## 1 Abstract

A set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  is a solution to the Pairwise Powers of 2 problem if and only if every pair of distinct elements of  $S$  sum to a power of 2. That is,

$$\forall x, y \in S. \exists n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. (x \neq y \implies x + y = 2^n) \quad (1)$$

It is known that solutions of size 2 and 3 exist, for example  $\{3, 5\}$  and  $\{-1, 3, 5\}$ . In this paper I will prove that no solutions exists of size 4 (or greater).

## 2 No Solutions of Size 4

**Observation 1.** *Any subset of a solution is also a solution.*

For example, the solution of size 3 listed about is  $\{-1, 3, 5\}$ . Notice that  $\{-1, 3\}$ ,  $\{-1, 5\}$ , and  $\{3, 5\}$  are all solutions of size 2.

**Lemma 1.** *Any solution of size 3 must contain a negative integer.*

*Proof.* Suppose by way of contradiction that  $\{a, b, c\}$  is a solution of positive integers. Assume without loss of generality that  $a < b < c$ . Note that  $a + b < a + c < c + b$  and  $b + c < 2c < 2(a + c)$ .

By definition,  $a + c$  and  $c + b$  are powers of 2, but note that  $a + c$  and  $2(a + c)$  consecutive powers of 2, thus  $c + b$  cannot exist between them. We have reached a contradiction, so our assumption that an all-positive solution of size 3 exists must be false.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.** *There are no solutions of size 4.*

*Proof.* Suppose by way of contradiction that a solution  $S = \{a, b, c, d\}$  exists. Then by Observation 1,  $\{a, b, c\}$  is a solution of size 3. By Lemma 1, one of  $a$ ,  $b$ , or  $c$  must be negative. Let  $a < 0$  without loss of generality.

Now note that again by Observation 1,  $\{b, c, d\}$  is also a solution of size 3, and contains a negative value by Lemma 1. Let this negative value be  $x \in \{b, c, d\}$ .

Now we have  $a, x \in S$  with  $a, x < 0$  and  $a \neq x$ . Clearly  $a + x < 0$  is not a power of 2, which means  $S$  is not a solution of size 4.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *There are not solutions of size greater than 4.*

*Proof.* By Observation 1, any solution of size greater than 4 would contain a solution of size 4, which has already been shown to not exist.  $\square$