◇ = If applicable, **Initial Report**

◇ = If applicable, **close of Public Comment**

◇ = If applicable, **Final Report (or otherwise complete)**

Efforts	GNSO Council Role	Level of Priority (1-5: where 1=could, 3=should, 5=must)	Level of Effort for GNSO Council (1-5)	Level of Effort for GNSO Support Staff (1-5)	Level of Effort for GNSO Community (1-5)	High-Level Description
-- Policy Development Processes --						
PDP IGO-INGO Access to Curative Rights Protection Mechanisms	Chartering Organization	5	3	5	5	PDP to determine whether existing curative rights mechanisms are sufficient for IGO/INGO usage, or if the mechanisms need to be amended and/or new mechanisms need to be established.
PDP Next-Generation gTLD Registration Directory Services to replace Whois	Chartering Organization	5	3	5	5	Board-initiated PDP to define the purpose of collecting, maintaining and providing access to gTLD registration data, and to consider safeguards for protecting such data.
Expedited Policy Development Process on Temporary Specification	Chartering Organization	5	4	5	5	Expedited PDP initiated as a result of the Temporary Specification for gTLD Registration Data. The effort has a timeframe of a single year to conclude.
PDP: Protection of International Organizational Names in All gTLDs (Reconvened WG on RCRC)	Chartering Organization	5	3	3	5	Reconvened PDP tasked with amending Recommendation 5 as it relates to protections afforded the full names of the Red Cross National Societies and full names of the International Committee of the Red Cross and International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies.
PDP: Protection of International Organizational Names in All gTLDs (IGO Acronyms)	Chartering Organization	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	PDP to consider if special protections should be provided to certain IGOs, RCRC, IOC, and INGOs. The PDP's consensus recommendations reside with Board, where some have been determined to be inconsistent with GAC Advice.
PDP Review of All Rights Protection Mechanisms in All gTLDs - Phase 1	Chartering Organization	5	3	5	5	PDP to review gTLD rights protections mechanisms, with Phase 1 concentrating on those specific to the new gTLD program (e.g., URS, TMCH, Sunrise periods, Trademark Claims, and PDDRPs).
PDP Review of All Rights Protection Mechanisms in All gTLDs - Phase 2	Chartering Organization	5	3	5	5	PDP to review gTLD rights protections mechanisms, with Phase 2 concentrating on the UDRP.
PDP New gTLD Subsequent Procedures	Chartering Organization	5	3	5	5	PDP to reflect on the 2012 round of the New gTLD Program and to determine what changes are needed to the original policy recommendations related to new gTLDs.
-- Cross Community Working Groups --						
CCWG on New gTLD Auction Proceeds	Chartering Organization	5	3	5	5	CCWG responsible for providing recommended mechanism(s) for the use of funds collected via last resort auctions in the 2012 round of the New gTLD Program.
CCWG on Enhancing ICANN Accountability (WS2)	Chartering Organization	5	2	3	5	CCWG to investigate accountability mechanisms regarding all functions provided by ICANN. Work Stream 2 (WS2) will focus on accountability topics that can be addressed post IANA Stewardship Transition.
-- Implementation Review Teams --						
IRT - PDP Protection of IGO and INGO Identifers in All gTLDs	GNSO is source of policy recommendations and responsible for considering challenges in implementation. Council liaison provided.	5	1	3	3	Implementation Review Team to implement recommendations from the PDP on the Protection of IGO and INGO Identifiers in All gTLDS that are NOT inconsistent with GAC Advice.
IRT - PDP Privacy & Proxy Services Accreditation Issues Working Group	GNSO is source of policy recommendations and responsible for considering challenges in implementation. Council liaison provided.	5	1	3	3	Implementation Review Team to implement recommendations from the PDP on Privacy & Proxy Services Accreditation, which will result in the Privacy and Proxy Accreditation Program.
IRT - PDP "Thick" Whois	GNSO is source of policy recommendations and responsible for considering challenges in implementation. Council liaison provided.	5	1	3	3	Implementation Review Team to implement recommendations from the PDP on "Thick" Whois.
IRT - PDP Translation and Transliteration of Contact Information	GNSO is source of policy recommendations and responsible for considering challenges in implementation. Council liaison provided.	5	1	3	3	Implementation Review Team to implement recommendations from the PDP on Translation and Transliteration of Contact Information
-- Other Projects --						
GNSO Rights & Obligations under Revised ICANN Bylaws	As a Decisional Participant in the Empowered Community, the GNSO must ensure that its Operating Procedures and the new ICANN Bylaws are adjusted in conjunction with the revised Bylaws.	5	3	5	3	The GNSO Council formed a drafting team to identify all new or additional rights and responsibilities under the revised Bylaws for the GNSO as a member of the Empowered Community. Subsequently, staff was instructed by the GNSO Council to translate the recommendations of the Bylaws DT into proposed changes to the GNSO Operating Procedures and ICANN Bylaws which were then published for public comment. In addition, additional work was identified which needs to be carried out such as development of templates and development of new procedures.
GNSO Review Working Group	Support implementation	5	3	5	3	A WG established to develop a work plan and manage implementation of a set of recommendations coming from the GNSO organizational review, which was required by the ICANN Bylaws.
-- Standing Committees --						
Standing Committee on Budget and Operations (SCBO)	Council committee charged with consideration of budgetary issues specific to how they impact Council	3	3	3	3	A standing committee established by the GNSO Council to consider ICANN budget related issues in the context of the Council, especially around the Fiscal Year planning cycle.
Standing Selection Committee	Council committee charged with performing candidate review and selection processes as requested by Council.	5	3	3	3	A standing committee established by the GNSO Council to perform candidate reviews and selection processes as directed by the Council (e.g., GNSO members for Specific Reviews, GAC liaison, etc.).
-- Specific Reviews --						
Accountability and Transparency Review (ATRT3)	Endorses GNSO candidates for the Review Team and is informed of progress	5	2	2	5	One of the four Specific Reviews as required by the ICANN Bylaws. The GNSO is guaranteed three members but can appoint up to seven. This review focuses on how well ICANN supports mechanisms for public input, accountability, and transparency.
Security, Stability and Resiliency of the DNS (SSR2-RT)	Endorses GNSO candidates for the Review Team and is informed of progress	5	2	2	5	One of the four Specific Reviews as required by the ICANN Bylaws. The GNSO is guaranteed three members but can appoint up to seven. This review focuses on how well ICANN is improving security, operational stability, and resiliency matters relating to the coordination of the Internet's system of unique identifiers.
Registration Directory Service Policy Review (RDS)	Endorses GNSO candidates for the Review Team and is informed of progress	5	2	2	5	One of the four Specific Reviews as required by the ICANN Bylaws. The GNSO is guaranteed three members but can appoint up to seven. This review focuses on how effectively the gTLD registry directory service balance the legitimate needs of law enforcement with the need to safeguard registrant data and promote consumer trust.
Competition, Consumer Trust and Consumer Choice Review (CCT-RT)	Endorses GNSO candidates for the Review Team and is informed of progress	5	2	2	5	One of the four Specific Reviews as required by the ICANN Bylaws. The GNSO is guaranteed three members but can appoint up to seven. This review focuses on whether the expansion of the new gTLD Program promotes competition, consumer trust, and consumer choice.
-- ICANN Org Related Work --						
Customer Standing Committee Charter Review	Approval of review members and charter	3	2	2	3	As required by the revised Bylaws, the Customer Standing Committee Charter will be reviewed by a committee of representatives from the ccNSO and the RySG. The review was commenced one year after the first meeting of the CSC.

Timeline column headers: Already Underway | Q1 2018 | Q2 2018 | Q3 2018 | Q4 2018 | Q1 2019 | Q2 2019 | Q3 2019 | Q4 2019 | 2020 | 2021

Gantt chart annotations:
- PDP Next-Generation gTLD Registration Directory Services to replace Whois: "Next steps TBD"
- PDP: Protection of International Organizational Names in All gTLDs (IGO Acronyms): "Recommendations sitting with the ICANN Board."
- IRT - PDP Protection of IGO and INGO Identifers in All gTLDs: "Policy already announced (those not inconsistent with GAC Advice)"
- IRT - PDP "Thick" Whois: "Policy already announced"
- Standing Committee on Budget and Operations (SCBO): "Ongoing committees"
- Standing Selection Committee: "Ongoing committees"
- Accountability and Transparency Review (ATRT3): "Unknown start date"

Work Item	Timeline Note	Council Role / Next Steps					Notes
PTI Budget Approval - FY20		Council has no specific role, can choose to contribute	3	2	3	3	Public comment opportunity on the Draft FY Public Technical Identifiers Operating Plan and Budget and the Draft IANA FY Operating Plan and Budget.
ICANN Budget Approval - FY20		Council has no specific role, can choose to contribute	3	2	3	3	Public comment opportunity on ICANN's Draft FY Operating Plan and Budget and the year's update to the Five-Year Operating Plan.
-- Additional Possible Work --							
-- ICANN Org Related Work --							
Customer Standing Committee Effectiveness Review		Approval of review members and charter	5	3	2	3	As required by the revised Bylaws, the effectiveness of the Customer Standing Committee will be reviewed two years after the first meeting of the CSC.
IANA Naming Function Review	PTI's	Approval of review members and charter	5	3	2	3	As required by the revised Bylaws, the Board can cause periodic and/or special reviews of PTI's performance of the IANA naming function against the contractual requirements in the IANA Naming Function Contract and IANA Naming Function SOW.
-- Policy Reviews --							
ICANN Procedure for Handling Whois Conflicts with Privacy Law	Call for volunteers to be considered upon delivery of EPDP Initial Report	Chartering Organization. **Determination of next steps**	5	3	TBD	3	Effort to review how the access and usefulness of the ICANN Procedure For Handling WHOIS Conflicts with Privacy Law implementation can be improved, in the context of public comment received on that procedure.
2013 RAA Whois Accuracy Program Specification Review		Consideration of report/public comments and **determination of next steps**	TBD, depending on if and what actions are needed	TBD, depending on if and what actions are needed	TBD, depending on if and what actions are needed	TBD, depending on if and what actions are needed	Potential review of the WHOIS accuracy requirements contained in the 2013 RAA. If the review takes place and depending on the outcome of the review, the GNSO may need to take action.
Inter-Registrar Transfer Policy		Consideration of report/public comments and **determination of next steps**	TBD, depending on if and what actions are needed	TBD, depending on if and what actions are needed	TBD, depending on if and what actions are needed	TBD, depending on if and what actions are needed	Data collection and review of the Inter-Registrar Transfer Policy, as recommended by the IRTP Part D PDP WG. Depending on the outcome of the review, the GNSO may need to take action (e.g. additional review and/or collection of data, initiation of a PDP, other).
Transfer Emergency Action Contact	TBD	Consideration of report/public comments and **determination of next steps**	TBD, depending on if and what actions are needed	TBD, depending on if and what actions are needed	TBD, depending on if and what actions are needed		Review of the Transfer Emergency Action Contact as recommended by the IRTP Part B PDP WG, especially in relation to whether the TEAC is effectively establishing contact between registrars in case of emergency, whether the TEAC is being used for non-emergency issues, and whether the "undo" option in case of failure to respond to the TEAC should be mandatory. Expected to be rolled into review of IRTP (see previous item). Depending on the outcome of that eventual review, the GNSO may need to take action (e.g. additional review and/or collection of data, initiation of a PDP, other).
Expired Registration Recovery Policy	TBD	Consideration of report/public comments and **determination of next steps**	TBD, depending on if and what actions are needed	TBD, depending on if and what actions are needed	TBD, depending on if and what actions are needed		Review of the Expired Registration Recovery Policy, as recommended by the Post Expiration Domain Name Recovery PDP WG. The data collected is primarily from Contractual Compliance and related to complaints about renewal and/or post expiration related matters. Depending on the outcome of that eventual review, the GNSO may need to take action (e.g. additional review and/or collection of data, initiation of a PDP, other).
Privacy & Proxy Services Accreditation	TBD	Consideration of report/public comments and **determination of next steps**	TBD, depending on if and what actions are needed	TBD, depending on if and what actions are needed	TBD, depending on if and what actions are needed		While the IRT is still in the process of implementing the policy, the WG recommended that in the future, the effectiveness of the accreditation program be reviewed. Depending on the outcome of that eventual review, the GNSO may need to take action (e.g. additional review and/or collection of data, initiation of a PDP, other).