

T/T Rec	Corresponding IRD Rec
1. T/T should <i>not</i> be mandatory	
2. Whois replacement should be able to <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. receive input in non-ASCII form b. data fields be easy to ID in terms of what each field represents and what languages have be used by registered name holder 	3. Unless explicitly stated otherwise, all data elements should be tagged with the language(s) and script(s) in use, and this information should always be available with the data element.
3. Language and script supported for registrants to submit contact info may be chosen according to gTLD provider business models	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Registrants should only be required to input registration data in a language(s) or script(s) with which they are skilled 2. A registry must be able to accept and store any language or script that might reasonably be expected to be used in their target market
4. Data fields to remain consistent with relevant existing policies, contact info to be validated [covered by EPP], and language/script easily identifiable	3. Unless explicitly stated otherwise, all data elements should be tagged with the language(s) and script(s) in use, and this information should always be available with the data element.
5. T/T, if performed, should be presented in add'l data fields (if present) as transformed, and source noted	[IRD and RDAP data models +/- synced on this]
6. Whois replacement (e.g. RDAP) should have built-in flexibility to add new languages/scripts	[IRD and RDAP data models +/- synced on this]
7. These recommendations should be coordinated with other Whois modifications where necessary and be implemented as soon as a Whois that can receive, store, and display non-ASCII characters becomes operational	IRD [not a formal recommendation]: "Requirements should not apply until significant uptake in the adoption of Registration Data Access Protocol (RDAP)"