

ASSUMED PRACTICES FRAMEWORK

POLICY CHANGES ADOPTED ON SECOND READING

The Board of Trustees adopted these policies on second reading at its meeting on June 26, 2015.

Background

HLC policy stipulates that an institution's conformity with the Assumed Practices be reviewed by HLC prior to granting initial accreditation or candidacy and when an institution is being reviewed for removal of probation or a show-cause order. As the Assumed Practices were being developed, staff thought it might be useful to add a required review of all of the Assumed Practices to Standard Pathway and Change of Control evaluations. After implementation of the Assumed Practices, staff determined that those reviews were not necessary since HLC policy provides the authority to review the institution against any Assumed Practice in question.

Implementation

These policies are effective immediately.

Policy	Accreditation
INST.B.20.030	
	Grant of Initial Accreditation
	The Board of Trustees reviews an institution's application for initial accreditation and all related materials
	after the institution has undergone evaluation by a team of peer reviewers and an Institutional Actions
	Council hearing, as defined in Commission policy. The Board of Trustees may grant or deny initial
	accreditation. If it grants initial accreditation, it may grant such accreditation subject to interim monitoring,
	restrictions on institutional growth or substantive change, or other contingency.
	Accreditation Cycle
	Institutions must have accreditation reaffirmed not later than four years following initial accreditation, and
	not later than ten years following a reaffirmation action. The time for the next reaffirmation is made a part
	of the accreditation decision, but may be changed if the institution experiences or plans changes. The
	Commission may extend the period of accreditation not more than one year beyond the decennial cycle or
	one year beyond the initial accreditation cycle for institutions that present good and sufficient reason for
	such extension.
	Effective Date of Accreditation

© Higher Learning Commission policycomments@hlcommission.org • hlcommission.org • 800-621-7440

The effective date of initial accreditation or reaffirmation of accreditation or other Commission action will be the date the action was taken.
The Commission's Board may grant initial accreditation, with the contingency noted in this subsection, to an institution that applies for accreditation and is determined by the Commission to have met the Criteria for Accreditation but has not yet graduated a class of students in at least one of its degree programs, as required by the Eligibility Requirements. Institutions shall have completed the two-year required minimum candidacy period or received a waiver from the Commission's Board of Trustees. Such action shall be contingent on the institution's graduation of its first graduating class in at least one of its degree programs within no more than thirty days of the Board's action. In such cases, the effective date of accreditation will be the date of this graduating class.
Assumed Practices in the Evaluative Framework for Initial and Reaffirmation of Accreditation An institution seeking initial accreditation, accredited to candidate status, or removal of Probation or Show-Cause, must explicitly address these requirements when addressing the Criteria. The institution must demonstrate conformity with these Practices as evidence of demonstrating compliance with the Criteria. Institutions undergoing reaffirmation of accreditation will not explicitly address the Assumed Practices except as identified in section INST.A.10.030. Any exemptions from these Assumed Practices must be granted by the Board and only in exceptional circumstances.
Policy Number Key Section INST: Institutional Policies Chapter B: Requirements for Achieving and Maintaining Affiliation Part 20: Defining the Affiliated Entity
Last Revised: June 2015 First Adopted: August 1987 Revision History: renumbered November 2010, revised February 2012, June 2015 Notes: Policies combined November 2012 - 1.1(a)1, 1.1(a)2, 1,1(a)3, 1.4, 2013 – 1.1(a)1.2, 1.1(a)1.3, 1.1(a)1.4.

Policy	Processes for Seeking Approval of Change of Control
INST.F.20.070	
	The Commission's Board will make all decisions regarding approval of transactions under this policy taking
	into consideration the summary report made by Commission staff. Commission staff may seek external
	assistance from peer reviewers or individuals with appropriate expertise or may require an immediate on-site
	Fact-Finding Review to gather information about the proposed CHANGE OF CONTROL,
	STRUCTURE, OR ORGANIZATION in making a summary report to the Board. The summary report
	may contain a recommendation regarding approval of the transaction made by the institution's Commission
	staff liaison or by the Commission staff. Commission staff will provide the institution with a copy of the
	staff summary report, including the staff recommendation, if any, being provided to the Board and allow the

institution 14 calendar days to prepare a response to that summary report; that response will be shared with the Board prior to its decision.

The Board may act in agreement with any recommendation put forward by Commission staff or the Board may develop and act on its own recommendation. The Board may elect to provide the institution with thirty days to respond to any recommendation before the Board takes final action.

The Board may approve the change, thereby authorizing accreditation for the institution subsequent to the close of the transaction, or it may deny approval for the change. The Board may defer its consideration of the proposed CHANGE OF CONTROL, STRUCTURE OR ORGANIZATION to the next public Board meeting date pending receipt of additional information or action by a third party such as the state or another recognized accreditor. The Board may make use of OTHER OPTIONS identified in this section.

The Board may approve the change subject to certain conditions. Such conditions may include, but are not limited to, limitations on new educational programs, student enrollment growth, development of new campuses or sites, etc. Related to these conditions, the Board may require that it review and approve certain changes at the institution prior to their inception. The institution and other parties involved in the transaction have 14 calendar days after receiving the Board's action letter to indicate in writing the acceptance of these conditions. If the institution and the other parties do not respond in writing or decline to accept the conditions, the Board may immediately act to rescind its approval. The parties to the CHANGE OF CONTROL, STRUCTURE OR ORGANIZATION may not act to close the transaction until accepting in writing the Board's conditions, if any.

The Board reserves the right to delegate to a Board subcommittee the review, prior to the decision by the full Board, of changes proposed under this policy and that subcommittee may make a recommendation to the full Board regarding the decision on the proposed transaction.

If the Board votes to approve the change with or without conditions, thereby authorizing accreditation for the institution subsequent to the close of the transaction, the Commission will conduct a focused or other evaluation to the institution within six months of the consummation of the transaction. A previously-scheduled comprehensive or focused evaluation may fulfill this task provided that it is scheduled, or can be rescheduled, within the six-month timeframe. The Board's action to approve a Change of Control, Structure or Organization may designate an effective date of approval provided that such date will be not later than 30 days from the date of the action. If the institution does not effect the transaction within this 30 day period, the institution must notify the Commission and seek a revised effective date, which may involve providing additional information to the Commission and another action by the Board of Trustees.

Approval Factors

The Board will consider the following factors in determining whether to approve the transaction: 1) the extension of the mission, educational programs, student body and faculty that were in place when the Commission last conducted an onsite evaluation of the affiliated institution; 2) the on-going continuation and maintenance of the institution historically affiliated with the Commission with regard to its mission, objectives, outreach, scope, structure, and related factors; 3) substantial likelihood that the institution,

including the revised governance and management structure of the institution, will continue to meet the Commission's Eligibility Requirements, and Criteria for Accreditation (including the Assumed Practices where appropriate); 4) sufficiency of financial support for the transaction; and 5) previous experience in higher education and accreditation, qualifications, and resources of new owners, Board members or other individuals who play a key role in the institution or related entities subsequent to the transaction. If the Board determines in its sole discretion that the institution or the transaction fails to meet any of the approval factors, the Board will not approve the proposed CHANGE OF CONTROL, STRUCTURE OR ORGANIZATION. The Board may also renew the institution's eligibility for its existing pathways assignment or place the institution on a different pathway.

Other Board Options

The Board may act, prior to approving the proposed CHANGE OF CONTROL, to require additional review through the Eligibility Process or through a Fact-Finding Review, which may be an additional such Review, conducted by peer reviewers or by other higher education, legal or accounting professionals regarding whether the proposed CHANGE OF CONTROL, STRUCTURE OR ORGANIZATION constitutes the creation of a new institution such that it should be required to go through a period of time in candidacy or an initial status evaluation. The review shall be conducted within sixty days of the Board's action requiring such review, and the results shall be available to the Board at its next regularly scheduled or special meeting. The institution will have seven working days to respond to the report prepared for the Board or the Board's meeting.

Any candidacy required by the Board under this section shall be known as a Change of Control Candidacy. The effective date of the Change of Control Candidacy shall be the closing date of the transaction. The Board shall establish the minimum and maximum length of the candidacy but not to exceed the maximum length of time for candidacy as identified in these policies, as well as the schedule of evaluations during the candidacy period.

Evaluation Visits Related to Change of Control, Structure, or Organization

Fact-Finding Review. Commission staff may call for a Fact-Finding Review prior to making a summary report to the Board regarding a proposed CHANGE OF CONTROL, STRUCTURE, OR ORGANIZATION. The role of the Fact-Finding Review will be to gather information and advise staff regarding the summary report to the Board. The Fact-Finding Review Team will not prepare a formal team report but may prepare a written summary of activities and findings. A Fact-Finding Review may take place on-site at the institution or at any other location appropriate to its activities.

In addition, the Board may call for a special Fact-Finding Review to determine, in certain cases, whether a proposed CHANGE OF CONTROL, STRUCTURE OR ORGANIZATION may constitute the creation of a new institution. This review may take place through the Commission's Eligibility Process or through other mechanism as defined by the Board. This review may result in a recommendation that the Board approve a transaction subject to the institution's acceptance of a period of time as a candidate for accreditation.

Section INST: Institutional Policies
Chapter F: Maintenance and Monitoring
Part 20: Interim Monitoring
Last Revised: June 2015
First Adopted: June 2009
Revision History: February 2010, February 2012, June 2012, June 2015